

Respondent No: 431 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 08, 2018 12:29:28 pm **Last Seen:** Jul 08, 2018 12:29:28 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Anne
Q2. Last name	Buckingham
Q3. Phone	
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why? not answered	

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think values or the production of sustainable timber? W	have a negative outcome on the management of environmental hy?
Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)? not answered	
Q20.In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be e timber industry? Why? not answered	ffective in managing environmental values and a sustainable
Q21. General comments As a concerned individual I don't want pristine long standing forests logged. They are irreplaceable. I know the history of destruction including Wilson's Promontory and Gippsland. Irreplaceable! That all I want to say.	
Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)	not answered
Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)	not answered

not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document

3)