Respondent No: 118 Login: Anonymous Email: n/a		Responded At: Last Seen: IP Address:	Jun 21, 2018 21:09:09 pm Jun 21, 2018 21:09:09 pm n/a
Q1. First name	Anthony		
Q2. Last name	Bosch		
Q3. Phone			
Q4. Mobile			
Q5. Email			
Q6. Postcode			
Q7. Country	not answered		
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual		
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other not answered			
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff not answered			
Q11. Organisation name	not answered		
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Phone		
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes		
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes		
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No		
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?			
Reduction in regulation and implementation of simpler consistent guidelines			

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Modern day progressive rules that fit the present and future

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Hopefully access to areas and 4x4 trails are kept open

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments	
not answered	
Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)	not answered
Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)	not answered
Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)	not answered