

Respondent No: 151 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 23, 2018 22:59:16 pm **Last Seen:** Jun 23, 2018 22:59:16 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	
Q2. Last name	
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	not answered
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	not answered
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	
not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	not answered
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes, but anonymous
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	
Public forest should not be logged.	

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why? All, I don't think these public forests should be logged. Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?			
		Too many chances for mistakes and the forest should	be left as they are.
		Q20.In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be e timber industry? Why?	effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable
Q21. General comments I do mot think these forest should be touched and they should instead be protected.			
Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)	not answered		
Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)	not answered		
Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)	not answered		