



**Respondent No:** 525

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 12, 2018 13:58:30 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 12, 2018 13:58:30 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| Q1. <b>First name</b>   | ████                 |
| Q2. <b>Last name</b>  | ██████████           |
| Q3. <b>Phone</b>  | ██████████           |
| Q4. <b>Mobile</b>   | not answered         |
| Q5. <b>Email</b>  | ████████████████████ |
| Q6. <b>Postcode</b>   | ████                 |
| Q7. <b>Country</b>  | Australia            |
| Q8. <b>Stakeholder type</b>   | Individual           |
| Q9. <b>Stakeholder type - Other</b>   | not answered         |
| Q10. <b>Stakeholder type - Staff</b>  | not answered         |
| Q11. <b>Organisation name</b>   | not answered         |
| Q12. <b>What is your preferred method of contact?</b>   | Email                |
| Q13. <b>Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?</b> | No                   |
| Q14. <b>Can the EPA make your submission public?</b>  | Yes, but anonymous   |
| Q15. <b>Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?</b>                            | No                   |

**Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**

I started filling out this submission in good faith, but I bumped into the article written by NEFA, explaining how an FOI request had revealed that Forestry Corp had illegally demolished 75,000 Ha between Taree and Coff's. I woke up from my daze and remembered that Forestry Corp has NEVER adhered to ANY regulations, and EPA had NEVER been successful in altering their behaviour. The government will not tolerate one branch suing another branch. You will notice that there is no mention of this issue in the EPA Draft Blurb. There is no NEW ERA of prosecutions mentioned, due to the simplified policing and aerial survey age. There is no NEW ERA of Forestry Corp promising to adhere to the new IFOA because it's simpler. Yet, we are supposed to guess that. So, it's just another bunch of pointless greenwash. I think it's just to keep EPA staff busy, so they can't go out and enforce anything. What really needs to happen, is Forestry Corp STAFF and MANAGEMENT need to be replaced by people who are not owned by BORGAL. Until the staff act like regular law abiding sentient humans who are concerned for the environment, any IFOA is just more toilet paper to Forestry Corp. The thing that most concerns me about this IFOA, is that the previous illegal clear felling regime of Forestry Corp is being formalized with the 50,000Ha of intensive logging of blackbutt reserves. If this actually restricts their previous extraction slather, then Forestry Corp is going to do illegal things (away in the hills) in the newly rezoned areas, which were previously known as old growth. EPA will and can do nothing about it, as usual. In this IFOA, the new weasel word "outcomes" appears, so it's not even certain that Forestry Corp has to adhere to anything in the new IFOA. Say I shoot someone; can I make a case to the police that the outcome is the same, if I start growing a new person? Sounds fair? Can I pay someone \$10000 to do it for me? Can I pay them in tax deductible yearly payments? Or, does that sound too harsh? No, no. Sounds perfectly reasonable. What does "balanced" mean? It means nothing. Heritage destruction is being balanced against public outrage and politicians' effort to placate Knitting Nanas. EPA and other gov regulatory departments are perfectly able to make private landholders suffer for clearing endangered species, but the only thing they have done to BORGAL is give them 8.5million in pointless compensation. We are expecting this cycle of BORGAL reward to continue.

---

**Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

Replace Forestry Corp staff with public servants who obey the law.

---

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

Replace Forestry Corp staff with public servants who obey the law.

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

Replace Forestry Corp staff with public servants who obey the law.

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

Replace Forestry Corp staff with public servants who obey the law.

---

**Q21. General comments**

IFOA = Idiots Farking Our Assets.

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

Q23. **Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

Q24. **Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---