

Respondent No: 301 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 04, 2018 19:11:04 pm **Last Seen:** Jul 04, 2018 19:11:04 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Scott
Q2. Last name	Graham
Q3. Phone	
Q4. Mobile	
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	
not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Phone
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	Yes

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

I am concerned about the proposal to increase clear felling and reduce wildlife protections. Because our wildlife is already under serious pressure from urban sprawl and global warming. We should be increasing protections, not reducing them. Our forestry is a public asset and needs to be managed in a balanced way that allows it to serve a dual purpose as habitat not just as a source of revenue for the state government.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I couldn't see any improvements. There may be some, but I was overwhelmed by the clear backward steps for our environment at a time when we should be improving our governance of native habitats.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I am concerned about the proposal to increase clear felling and reduce wildlife protections. Because our wildlife is already under serious pressure from urban sprawl and global warming. We should be increasing protections, not reducing them. Our forestry is a public asset and needs to be managed in a balanced way that allows it to serve a dual purpose as habitat not just as a source of revenue for the state government.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Didn't see this.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. With our wildlife already under serious pressure from urban sprawl and global warming, we should be increasing protections, not reducing them. The proposal to increase clear felling and reduce wildlife protections is shocking in this day. Our forestry is a public asset and needs to be managed in a balanced way that allows it to serve a dual purpose as habitat not just as a source of revenue for the state government.

Q21. General comments

I am concerned about the proposal to increase clear felling and reduce wildlife protections.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

3)