



Respondent No: 420

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 08, 2018 08:44:23 am

Last Seen: Jul 08, 2018 08:44:23 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Paul
Q2. Last name	Underwood
Q3. Phone	██████████
Q4. Mobile	██████████
Q5. Email	not answered
Q6. Postcode	████
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	Slippery Creek Pastoral Company
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

Increasing logging intensity throughout all forests –does not support carbon credit increases forest in my area have already been heavily logged. rezoning the 140,000 ha of forests from Taree to Grafton to allow clearfelling at a rate of 2,200ha per annum. This rezoning rate will impact across too longer a period with out reviews and monitoring for another 70 years. (140,000 at 2,200 ha = 70 years of logging) them what? What the forest needs is time to regrow and gain diversity before logging again, large timbers are the only way for large re seating and sustainability of forest. Opening up protected oldgrowth for logging - they are getting OEH to remap it using a more limited definition which wipes out 78% of High conservation old growth. Removing the need to identify and protect mature recruitment and eucalypt feed trees. Removing the need to look for and protect core Koala habitat before logging, while zoning 43% of the highest quality Koala habit for clearfelling. Removing the need to undertake pre-logging surveys and protection for most threatened species currently protected. This will allow logging of the habitat of recognised World Heritage species and threaten unique rainforest ecotones. Allowing Bell Miner Associated Dieback to run rampant. Halving riparian habitat protection from 10m to 5m, Removing most threatened fauna (ie barred frogs, golden-tipped bat) stream exclusions!!

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None, read above!

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

See above

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

This has merit and should be developed and supported.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No the rates of felling are too great, 2,200 ha per annum needs reducing considerably. Timbers are already in short demand. Sustainable timber or pulp must be addressed, what are the timbers being used for? Pulping allows smaller timber to be logged reducing sustainable timber conservation.

Q21. General comments

With out more sustainability with a bigger carbon foot print, this IFOA will not manage our forest in the log term? I do not support this coastal forestry management plan as it stands.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered