



Respondent No: 358

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 07, 2018 08:51:18 am

Last Seen: Jul 07, 2018 08:51:18 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Steven
Q2. Last name	Boniface
Q3. Phone	[REDACTED]
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	not answered
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

Logging over old growth and previous exclusion zones. The backing down on agreements made that were prioritising the planet over the want for timber.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None. You are going back on agreements made at a time when logging was out of control (1992). Those agreements were made in order of sustainability of this beautiful planet, you know the one that we need to live on. We have one planet, no plan b, and you are trying to have us agree to something that is non reversible yet detrimental to our future as a planet.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

There is a clear message in this agreement.... Our insatiable greed for building with timber is of far more importance than any other species in the state. That the feelings of those who love our native forests, and feel a connection with it doesn't matter. Business does. There are federal listed reserves involved in this agreement, yet the NSW government is attempting to override that. Outrageous.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Poor. one message should be given. one of sustainability. stop pretending.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. Opening up more harvesting zones is a clear push towards propping up an unsustainable timber supply agreement that puts the environment at risk.

Q21. General comments

Logging agreements are less important than the protection of our environment. Our mother. This earth is within us, we are a part of her. Stop believing otherwise.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
