



**Respondent No:** 157

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jun 24, 2018 20:40:11 pm

**Last Seen:** Jun 24, 2018 20:40:11 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

- Q1. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Phone** not answered
- Q4. **Mobile** not answered
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** Australia
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Individual
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**  
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**  
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** not answered
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** No
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes, but anonymous
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** No

**Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**

I am very concerned about maintaining environmental protections and don't believe the current proposal will effectively protect threatened species or high value forest areas such as old growth. The pressures on threatened species are now so great that any areas of natural habitats are important to protect. I also believe that logging rules are not followed and cannot be applied effectively. I have seen bell miner dieback spreading over the last 20 years, starting from logging areas and now covering vast areas of forest. This break down of the ecosystem is alarming and all efforts must be made to stop further damage to affected or susceptible forests. I am also concerned at the amount of public money spent to prop up the logging industry.

**Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

None

---

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

not answered

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

not answered

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

not answered

---

**Q21. General comments**

I think all public state forests should be dedicated as national parks to protect water catchment values, threatened and native species habitats, carbon sink values, tourism potential and intrinsic values. It makes no sense to spend public money to help the timber industry create major damage to ecosystems when so much of our community is working to fix the environment and so much public and private money is spent regenerating and restoring native habitats.

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

---

**Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

**Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---