



**Respondent No:** 257

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 02, 2018 21:31:19 pm

**Last Seen:** Jul 02, 2018 21:31:19 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **First name**

██████

Q2. **Last name**

██████████

Q3. **Phone**

not answered

Q4. **Mobile**

not answered

Q5. **Email**

██

Q6. **Postcode**

██████

Q7. **Country**

Australia

Q8. **Stakeholder type**

Individual

Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**

not answered

Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**

not answered

Q11. **Organisation name**

not answered

Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?**

Email

Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?**

Yes

Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?**

Yes, but anonymous

Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?**

No

Q16. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**

Deforestation. We should rely more on man made tree plantation rather than cutting existing forests.

Q17. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

None, we need to be more sustainable and stop cutting old or forest older than 20 years old. We need to rely more on timber that has been planted specifically for that purpose and stop decimating existing forest, affecting flora and fauna that is already established there.

**Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**

The removal of the need to look for protected tree species. The reduction of buffers on headwater streams. The removal of the need to look for protected species like koalas and other marsupials. Remove the need to protect mature habitats of eucalyptus trees that feed protected species.

---

**Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?**

It is an unnecessary massacre of forest and all ecosystem that form such forest. It is a waste of taxpayers money to promote the decline of the main attraction to the mid north coast known for its beautiful forests and natural beauty

---

**Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?**

Definitely not

---

**Q21. General comments**

Logging should be 100% from plantation based timber industry, making it sustainable in the long term and benefiting everyone. If existing forests keeps being logged, will not only kill the natural beauty of the area, but also kill the tourism, the environment and the future of the mid north coast. Bowraville used to be the center of the timber industry in the 1850's. By 1960, the last red cedar was cutted and Bowraville never was the same again. These days, Bowraville is like a ghost town struggling to get afloat. If reforestation and industry based plantations were implemented then, we could have reliable and sustainable resource of trees these days. And to this day, we still have not learnt the lesson. Is all about greed more than need.

---

**Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)** not answered

---

**Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)** not answered

---

**Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)** not answered

---