

Respondent No: 81 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 19, 2018 21:59:49 pm **Last Seen:** Jun 19, 2018 21:59:49 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name

Q2. Last name

Q3. Phone

Q4. Mobile

Q5. Email

Q6. Postcode

Q7. Country

Australia

Q9. Stakeholder type - Other

Q8. Stakeholder type

Concerned citizen that the people responsible for these changes are pulling the wool over everyone's eyes and are completely incompetent in their ability to manage and sustain our forests and wildlife

Other

Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff

not answered

Q11. Organisation name not answered

Q12. What is your preferred method of contact? Phone

Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?

Yes

Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public? Yes, but anonymous

Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on No forestry issues?

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

NSW gov states "IFOAs effectively set out rules to protect native plants, animals, important habitat and ecosystems, soils and water in native forestry operations on public land. They also set requirements to achieve ecologically sustainable forest management in NSW." So why does this amended draft look to destroy far more habitat in a completely unsustainable way? Who is responsible for this? They should be fired. Explain how (as an example) the below changes "set requirements to achieve ecologically sustainable forest management"? " areas around waterways that cannot be logged — will be reduced from 10 metres to five. The new laws also permit the logging of giant trees up to 140cm in diameter, or 160cm in the case of blackbutt and alpine ash (preferred timber species). Northeast NSW to see the biggest changes In northeast NSW, a new "intensive harvesting zone" will cover 140,000 hectares of coastal forests between Taree and Grafton. These forests are in the Forests of East Australia global biodiversity hotspot and many are included in a proposed Great Koala National Park. This will see 45-hectare patches of forest cleared of all but a smattering of small trees. The intensity of logging everywhere else in the "selective" harvesting zone will, on average, double" I look forward to your response. Michael

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

None. You tell me?? The only benefit I see is to the logging industry and consumers. Not our natural habitat and species

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Most if not all.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Explain to me how protection has increased and not decreased?

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

Absolutely not

Q21. General comments

It is clear there is a lot of misleading language designed to give readers the impression this draft if for the benefit of the environment and people. It is the co ate opposite. I do not see how this in any way protects the environment more so than the previous? If this is about protecting the environment, who do you argue about things like costs and comexity? It's about our forests and wildlife.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

3)