

Respondent No: 443

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 09, 2018 10:44:20 am **Last Seen:** Jul 09, 2018 10:44:20 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Alexandra
Q2. Last name	Seddon
Q3. Phone	
Q4. Mobile	
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Community group
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	Potoroo Palace
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	Yes

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

All of it is important in a negative way because it ignores the real values of native forest and economically it has very short term benefits and long term would be a disaster.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

No parts of the IFOA will have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values. And there will be no positive outcomes for the production of sustainable timber either.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I have not found any positive outcomes. The IFOA destroys the very thing that would enable it to continue. It is similar to a society eating its seed corn instead of planting it.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

Only a combination of permanent environmental protections at all levels will be effective.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. I have given reasons above. The most valuable things both to the environment and the timber industry would be destroyed.

Q21. General comments

Please abandon the new Integrated Forestry Operations Approval completely to avoid a string of long term disasters. NPA's Great Koala National Park and Forests for All proposals would give environmental, social and economic benefits all round into the future.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered 2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered 3)